

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/440,277	11/15/1999	XIN CHEN	006005-026	2753	
7:	590 06/13/2002				
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP			EXAMINER		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 10036-2711		MEDINA SANAE	MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	8	
		DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**	_		MW			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/440,277	CHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maribel Medina	1754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Failu - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 l	November 1999 .				
2a)□	· —	nis action is non-final.				
3)						
-	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	☑ Claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o tion Papers	or election requirement.				
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a))⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachme	nt(s)					
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
0.0-11	7					

Application/Control Number: 09/440,277

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 recites the limitation "the hydrocarbons" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 5-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,470,931 (Callahan et al).

Callahan et al disclose a process for the ammoxidation of hydrocarbons, with the elimination of ammonia breakthrough in a reactor effluent. The process comprises providing a fluidized bed reactor (1); the reactor comprising a catalyst bed (3); a dilute phase above the catalyst bed; and a set of internals disposed at least partially within the dilute phase of the catalyst bed (See the figure and col. 5, line 32 to col. 6, line7). Regards the limitation of claim 5, that reads, "an inlet of a first-stage cyclone separator disposed above the set of internals", Callahan et al discloses that "cyclones are used at the top of the reactor to separate the fluidized

Ex Media

Application/Control Number: 09/440,277

Art Unit: 1754

bed catalyst from the products" (See col. 4, lines 16-18). Regarding claim 6, Callahan et al disclose in column 2, lines 28-29, the use of horizontal grids or screens, and disclose in column 3, lines 14-16, the use of sieve trays. Regards claim 9, Callahan et la exemplifies his invention with ammoxidation of propylene. No difference is seen between the instant claimed invention and Callahan et al disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,470,931 (Callahan et al).

Callahan et al apply herein as above. Callahan et al fail to disclose, "a bottom side of the set of internals is at a depth within the catalyst depth of not greater than 20 % of the total height of the catalyst bed". The quantity of a catalyst in a fluidized bed is dependent on a number of reactions conditions, such as the reactants, desired product, desired conversion rate, flow rate, temperature, etc. Therefore, the relationship of the fluidized bed height to the position of the internals is a result effective parameter. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have determined by experimentation the relationship of these parameters, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,277 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner Maribel Medina. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner: Maribel Medina

Tel: 703-305-1928 Fax: 703-872-9310

June 11, 2002

Wayne A. Langel Wayne A. Langel Primary Examiner OAU 1754